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The Royal Australasian College of Physicians (RACP) is committed to action to prevent obesity and reduce its impact across the life course.

Introduction

Why is the RACP concerned?

Unhealthy diets are major contributors to obesity, diabetes, dental caries, cardiovascular diseases, mental health conditions and many cancers – the very diseases which fill our hospital beds and general practice clinics and swamp the health budget. Physicians and paediatricians see patients and families every day who are struggling with obesity and related health conditions. They understand that these conditions are influenced by unhealthy diets and low physical activity driven by the obesogenic environment we live in.

Since 1980, obesity rates have nearly doubled in Australia and New Zealand. In 1980, 15 per cent of Australian adults over 20 years and 14.6 per cent of New Zealand adults over 20 years had obesity; by 2014/15, obesity rates for adults over 18 years of age had increased to 28 per cent in Australia. In New Zealand, rates for adults aged 18 years and over had increased to 32 per cent by 2016/17. Trends are replicated for children and young people under 20 years: In Australia in 1980, 3.5 per cent of children had obesity, increasing to 7 per cent in 2013. In New Zealand, 4.5 per cent of children had obesity in 1980, doubling to more than 9 per cent in 2013. Despite the increasing rates of obesity in our societies and a greater strength of evidence for interventions, successive governments in Australia and New Zealand have yet to implement comprehensive actions across society to reduce obesogenic environments and their underlying societal determinants. While some steps have been taken, there is a lack of urgency in implementing World Health Organization (WHO)-recommended policies and actions, including:

- The 2010 Recommendations on the Marketing of Foods and Non-alcoholic Beverages to Children
- Implementation of a tax on sugar-sweetened beverages

---

b The Working Party acknowledges the diversity of perspectives and divergent interpretations of the evidence available, particularly in nutritional epidemiology and nutritional practice. While the debate continues on the health impacts of some specific nutrients, there was Working Party consensus that the quality and quantity of people’s diets was of utmost importance to their health and wellbeing and that the primary challenge is to implement the evidence-informed nutrition recommendations for policy and action from the World Health Organization.
c The obesogenic environment can be defined as “the sum of influences that the surroundings, opportunities or conditions of life have on promoting obesity in individuals or populations.”
• Healthy food service policies implemented in publicly-funded settings, especially early childhood centres, schools and government departments

Physicians and paediatricians see that there is a role for the RACP and other health professional organisations and specialty societies to become more active in prevention and reduction of the prevalence of obesity.

Obesity affects people across the life course and creates enormous health burdens

Obesity is a common factor in many long-term health conditions, many of which start in fetal life or childhood. For example, a fetus exposed to excessive glucose in utero due to maternal gestational diabetes is at risk of excessive weight gain and developing metabolic syndrome in adulthood. In New Zealand, high body mass index (BMI) has overtaken tobacco as a risk factor attributable to health loss: higher BMI accounts for 9.2 per cent of all health loss, compared to 8.7 percent for tobacco. In Australia, higher BMI is attributable to 7 per cent of health loss, greater than the risk associated with alcohol, physical inactivity and high blood pressure.

People who develop obesity as children are more likely to be obese as adults. Children with obesity are more likely to have:

• Obstructive sleep apnoea
• Abnormal lipid profiles
• Symptoms of metabolic syndrome, such as impaired glucose tolerance and high blood pressure at a younger age
• More musculoskeletal problems
• Asthma
• Mental health conditions
• Experienced bullying, weight bias and discrimination
• Experienced trauma
• Experienced one or more Adverse Events in childhood, including violence, abuse or neglect.

Adults with obesity are at greater risk of experiencing poorer mental health outcomes, weight bias or stigma in education, employment or socially. Adults with persistent obesity are at greater risk of developing a range of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), including:

• Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)
• Several common types of cancer
• Cardiovascular diseases
• Obstructive sleep apnoea
• Reproductive difficulties.
What is obesity?

Obesity, especially morbid obesity, is a chronic disease with multiple health consequences\textsuperscript{20}. It is a condition of excess of body fat accumulation which, at a population level, is measured by the body mass index (BMI, weight in kilograms divided by height in metres squared)\textsuperscript{13}. Under WHO definitions for adults, a BMI of 30 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} or greater is generally considered obese and 25 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} or greater is considered overweight, although in the literature, overweight is often defined as falling between 25 and 30 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}\textsuperscript{12, 22}. Other measurements, such as waist circumference and metabolic markers can be used with BMI to better assess an individual’s level of risk in developing noncommunicable diseases such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease\textsuperscript{21}.

For children, the WHO defines overweight and obesity for 0-5-year-olds as weight-for-height greater than two and three standard deviations above the Childhood Growth Standards median respectively, and for children 6-19 years as BMI-for-age greater than one and two standard deviations above the median\textsuperscript{22}. The New Zealand Ministry of Health has adopted the WHO definition for children aged 0-5 years and recently published clinical guidance which recommends the clinicians use the WHO standard for children aged 6-19 years\textsuperscript{23}. Although all Australian states and territories have adopted the WHO Standards for children aged 0-2 years, none of the state, territory or federal governments in Australia has fully accepted and applied the WHO standards for children aged 3-19 years to date\textsuperscript{24, 25}.

The management of obesity and its co-morbidities is highly complementary to public health efforts to prevent obesity and address its underlying societal determinants. Greater action is required at all levels to reduce the individual and population burdens of obesity.

What are the drivers of obesity?

Obesity is a condition caused and sustained by people, with their inherent biological, psychological, social and economic susceptibilities, interacting with obesogenic environments such as food environments dominated by unhealthy foods and transport environments dominated by cars. The resulting behaviours, such as the passive overconsumption of unhealthy foods and beverages, low physical activity and sedentary lifestyles, lead to accumulated unhealthy weight gain over years and decades. The underlying drivers which create obesogenic environments are similar to the underlying drivers of many health conditions including infectious diseases, noncommunicable diseases, mental health, and injuries. These are called the social or societal determinants of health and they are embedded within the political, commercial, economic and socio-cultural systems of society. They are manifest through social conditions such as poverty levels, income inequalities, poor housing, and low educational attainment.

Genetic predisposition

While the obesity epidemic is the result of changes in the nutrition and physical activity environments, some individuals are more susceptible to these changes than others\textsuperscript{26}. Epidemiological studies demonstrate that obesity is genetically influenced, but not determined. A meta-analysis of twin studies, family studies and adoption studies published in the journal Behavioural Genetics in 1997 encompassed data from 25,000 twin pairs and 50,000 other family members. Structural equation model showed matched weights in 74 per cent of identical twins, 32 per cent of non-identical twins and 25 per cent of
other siblings. The authors concluded ‘genetic factors play a significant role in the causes of individual differences in relative body weight and human adiposity’. However, these large attributions of genetic contribution are not supported by genome-wide association studies, because the sum total of all the known genetic variants linked to BMI explains only a few percent of the variance in BMI. Personalised treatments based on genetic information are not appropriate for most patients with obesity, reinforcing the need for population approaches that are likely to benefit all.

**What can be done: Implementing specific obesity prevention policies and addressing the societal determinants of health**

Obesity is a multifactorial problem and the causes are deeply embedded in our societal structures which means that there are no simple solutions. However, there are many opportunities to tackle obesity at individual, community and population levels. While some of the opportunities to act are within the reach of people and their families, cross-sectoral actions are needed to create a whole of society approach to reducing obesity and its related inequalities. The health sector can play many important roles in reducing the burden of obesity and, for obesity prevention, this largely involves advocating for stronger government-led policies and actions. The sector can actively support the implementation of specific policies and actions, as recommended by authoritative bodies such as WHO, to create healthier food and physical activity environments for the prevention of obesity. The sector can also advocate for action to address the deeper, underlying societal drivers of health and disease. The main areas for action are outlined below.

**Marketing to children**

The widespread advertising, marketing, availability and access to foods and non-alcoholic beverages linked with unhealthy diets are strongly associated with increases in obesity rates – and childhood obesity in particular. As they grow, children gain greater familiarity with products and how they are advertised. This influences their preferences, purchasing behaviour and consumption patterns, their nutritional knowledge, and diet-related health, which in turn are reinforced and sustained by an obesogenic environment.

The WHO states that the effectiveness of marketing is a function of exposure and power: the overarching goal of policy action should be to reduce children and young people’s exposure to advertising material and reduce the power of such advertising and marketing materials for unhealthy diets. Understanding the impact of exposure to and power of advertising in relation to children is essential, particularly when children’s cognitive development is considered. Children under eight years are not fully aware of the persuasive intent of food marketing, and tend to accept advertising as truthful and accurate, and while older children and adolescents may understand that advertising is designed to sell products, they may not be able to interpret these messages critically.

The expansion of the media landscape to encompass digital and online spaces as well as television and print has resulted in greater global reach for multinational food and beverage brands. Where ‘traditional’ forms of media such as television and print used marketing and advertising to communicate on a population level, the internet and new media environments are delivering new (often young) consumers interactive marketing messages via increasingly individualised and tailored social media
advertising. These are often participatory, such as advergames (where the product is part of an online game), or provide a return on engagement for the user, such as vouchers, special offers, or competitions.

Children and young people are spending more time engaged with screen-based media and technology for recreation, education, and communication, and many from a very young age. Patterns of media use have changed and evolved over time: in 1970, children began watching television regularly at four years old; in 2018, many children will begin interacting with screen-based devices by six months of age. One cross-sectional study found that by age four, 75 per cent of the children in the study cohort had their own digital device. In New Zealand, sedentary leisure activities are often screen-based (television, internet, streaming services, video or computer games) and popular among children: for example, 50 per cent of New Zealand children aged 5-14 watched two or more hours of television each day. The Obesity Policy Coalition’s “Tipping the Scales” consensus statement noted that in Australia, the average child will see around 35 hours of food advertising per year, and more than 50 per cent of this will be marketing unhealthy foods and beverages.

Children and young people are spending more time viewing screen-based media – and are exposed to more advertising material – than they are engaged in physical activity. A 2012 survey of New Zealand secondary school students found that 28 per cent watched television and 20 per cent played computer games for more than three hours per day, and only 10 per cent of students (14 per cent of boys and 6 per cent of girls) met the recommendation of 60 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity per day, as part of physical activity guidelines. Similar figures are reported for Australian children and young people, with those aged 5-17 years spending over 2 hours (136 minutes) engaged in screen-based media per day. Around three-quarters of young people 15-17 years old had a screen based console (television, video games or computer) in their bedrooms. Older children tend to have longer daily screen times and less physical activity than younger children.

In New Zealand and Australia, advertising and marketing communications to children are largely self-regulated, guided by standards and codes of practice, such as the new Children and Young People’s Advertising Code effective in New Zealand from October 2017. WHO and other organizations note that statutory regulation of advertising to children is the only regulatory process which effectively recognises government’s responsibility to protect the rights and interests of children, under the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of the Child. 

**Fiscal policies**

Sugary drinks, defined as any beverage that contains free sugars, have been directly linked to weight gain and obesity. Many countries and regions including Mexico, France, Belgium, have already implemented a tax on sugary drinks whilst other countries including the UK, Ireland and Portugal will be rolling out a tax in 2018. The evidence to date has shown that taxes on sugary drinks are an effective mechanism to reduce consumption of these drinks and encourage manufacturers to reformulate their products. In addition, modelling studies and data from Mexico and the city of Berkeley in California have shown that the health of lower income populations, who tend to spend a higher proportion of their income on sugary drinks, would derive the most health benefit from a sugary drinks tax. The equity impacts of the tax can also be improved by ensuring that the revenues generated are invested in facilitating access to fresh healthy foods and culturally and linguistically tailored initiatives to benefit lower income populations. The Lancet Taskforce on NCDs and Economics has also
shown that the larger financial burden placed on lower-income households by the implementation of fiscal policies designed to reduce consumption of products such as sugary drinks, can be mitigated through proactive health equity policies which improve health outcomes. Appendix 1: Rationale for a sugary drinks tax on Australia and New Zealand provides more detailed information on the evidence to date as well as the benefits and detriments for lower income populations.

**Food literacy and food labelling**

The reciprocal relationship between the supply and marketing of products and people’s purchasing of such products is being sustained by commercial drivers and insufficient government and societal action on nutrition education and creating healthier food environments. In Australia currently, about 36 per cent of a family’s energy intake and 50 per cent of household food costs are from products associated with unhealthy diets. Although the role of energy density in promoting higher energy intakes has been known for some time, the evidence implicating dietary sugar, especially as sugar-sweetened beverages, in obesity has grown considerably in recent years. The WHO now recommends that less than 5 per cent of daily energy intake should come from added sugars (less than 6 teaspoons). Both Australia and New Zealand have diet and physical activity guidelines which have only been weakly implemented to educate the public about healthy choices. In particular, there is considerable confusion about what constitutes a healthy diet and this confusion is fuelled by commercial marketing and nutrition claims, media focus on diet controversies, a multitude of fad diets and popular diet books, and the absence of investment in nutrition education and social marketing by the government. Increasing food literacy needs to be a priority for both Australia and New Zealand.

Labelling products using simple language and easily interpretable graphics is another mechanism for increasing food literacy by communicating a products’ nutrient profile and therefore relative healthiness. The Health Star Rating System (HSR System) is a voluntary front-of-pack labelling system adopted by Australia and New Zealand in 2014 to assist consumers in comparing packaged foods within categories, for example, breakfast cereals. The HSR System uses a nutrient profiling system to assign a star rating to score food products between ½ a star (least healthy) and 5 stars (most healthy), with higher protein, fibre, and whole vegetables and fruit giving higher star ratings, and higher energy density, saturated fat, total sugars, and sodium giving lower star ratings. While no cut off point has been determined to assess the ‘healthiness’ of a product displaying the HSR, the New Zealand Ministry of Health healthy food and drink policy suggests “healthy core foods with a 3.5 star-rating can be confidently promoted in public settings as healthier choices.”

The RACP supports the ongoing revision of the current HSR System to ensure this mechanism is reliable, evidence-based and trusted by consumers. Central to the effectiveness of the HSR system is its algorithm which needs to give an accurate representation of a product’s nutritional profile, especially in relation to sugar content. The RACP supports implementing mandatory HSR labelling in New Zealand and Australia by 2019.

**Healthy food service policies**

Healthy food service policies, which limit the availability and access to unhealthy diets are one way that all services, organisations and businesses can promote healthy environments and healthy choices. New Zealand has made some progress on implementing healthy food service policies in health settings such as hospitals, but little progress in implementing a consistent healthy food and beverage service policy and nutrition standards in education settings.
The situation is somewhat reversed in Australia, where food service policies are present in schools, but there is less consistent progress in public institutions, including hospitals, and the private sector across the States and Territories. For more information on the recent food environment policy indexes in Australia and New Zealand, see Appendix 2: Food Environment Policy Index.

In 2016 the New Zealand Ministry of Health implemented a healthy food and beverage service policy for all District Health Board (DHB) hospital campuses and clinics following a ban on the sale of sugar-sweetened beverages from these sites in 2015. The policy recognises hospitals and clinics are health-promoting environments, and food and drinks available within these sites should support the health and wellbeing of hospital staff, patients and visitors. The policy uses a traffic light system to broadly categorise foods and beverages into green, amber and red. Foods and beverages in the Red Category (the category denoting products high in added sugars, refined carbohydrates, salt and saturated fat) are not permitted, and sites where red category foods such as confectionery are still available are required to phase the availability of these items within two years. In addition to the traffic light system, pre-packaged foods available must have a minimum Health Star Rating of 3.5; and the policy introduces a minimum 55 per cent threshold for green category foods to be predominantly available in food services, including cafeterias, catering, vending machines and retailers. To date, the policy is yet to be evaluated.

The New Zealand Ministry of Education, supported by the Ministry of Health, has called for all schools to introduce water-only, or healthy drink policies (water or plain, unsweetened milk). A snapshot survey of primary and secondary schools in the Wellington region shows variation in levels of policy design and implementation. Most schools (75 per cent) were actively considering, in the implementation process, or already practicing water-only policies; a minority of 15 per cent were not considering water-only policies. In contrast, a snapshot of schools in the Bay of Plenty and Lakes DHB regions showed that 75 per cent of students have sugary drinks available for purchase at school, with flavoured milk and fruit juices being the most prevalent. While no primary or intermediate schools had sugary soft drinks (fizzy drinks) available for purchase, more than 60 per cent of secondary schools still had these products available for purchase. In relation to food products, Ministry of Health data shows that while 69 per cent of schools have ‘healthy food options’ for purchase, 8 per cent of schools receive food industry sponsorship, including from fast food companies.

In Australia, healthy food service policies are applied at state level, meaning policies are not consistent nationally. The 2016 Australian Food Environments Policy Index identified that all States and Territories had policies for healthy food provision, but various levels of implementation, monitoring and support. For example, school healthy food service policies are well supported and monitored at the individual State and Territory level, but not at a Federal level, and may not cover private education institutions or early childhood settings. In addition, the Index assessed healthy food service policies in public and private sector institutions and workplaces such as hospitals as low. Recommendations to implement healthy food service policies in all government departments and settings, and expand to the private sector, are specified for the majority of Australian States and Territories.

The RACP recommends all education providers in Australia and New Zealand, particularly early childhood education centres, primary and secondary schools implement consistent healthy food and drink service policies which promote and enable healthy diets, including for school-based events and sponsorship. Emphasis on healthy food environments should be reflected in the curriculum, particularly in food technology, physical activity and health. Public and private sector employers should also
introduce healthy food service guidelines for cafeterias, catering, vending machines and retailers to support staff health and wellbeing. Policies should be regularly monitored and evaluated at the national or federal level.

*Community food retail environments*

The availability, access and affordability of unhealthy diets is associated with greater prevalence of obesity. Analyses of retail food environments in New Zealand communities and the proximity of fast food, takeaway and convenience stores to schools reveals an association between the numbers of food retailers and neighbourhood socioeconomic deprivation\(^76\)\(^77\). In the study of New Zealand schools, investigators found more than 60 per cent of urban schools had a fast food, takeaway or convenience store within 800m, and a significant positive association between the number of retail food outlets (including dairies and convenience stores, bakeries, service stations, takeaway and fast food outlets) and communities with greater levels of neighbourhood deprivation\(^76\)\(^77\). An Australian-based study examined the relationship between the numbers of healthy and unhealthy food retailers, the density of these outlets and self-reported household fruit and vegetable purchasing. The authors concluded that households purchasing greater quantities of fruits and vegetables were located in areas where the density of healthy food retailers was greater\(^78\).

A health and wellbeing principle as part of decision-making processes in planning and zoning would allow for greater consideration for the health and wellbeing of local communities; not only how development may adversely impact health and wellbeing, but how regulation, consultation and engagement with communities may work for wider health promotion in neighbourhoods.

*Access to green spaces*

When urban green space is unsafe or inaccessible, there are fewer opportunities for citizens of all ages to be physically active and less sedentary, and engage in recreational play, exercise, or take advantage of natural surroundings. Reviews of the evidence show an association between safe, accessible green space and higher physical and mental health, with lower rates of overweight and obesity, noncommunicable diseases, and less stress\(^79\)\(^80\). One review on the relationship between green space and obesity-related health indicators found the majority of studies reported a positive association, though this relationship is potentially confounded by factors such as age, socioeconomic status and how green spaces are used. Positive associations have been reported for several specific groups, including children, older people, women and people with disabilities\(^81\).

- For pregnant women, exposure to green space can have benefits for fetal development and lead to healthier birth weight, as well as positive influences on cognitive and fine motor skills development during childhood, which can contribute to maintaining a healthy and physically active lifestyle through the life course\(^80\)\(^82\)\(^83\).
- Some studies have found access to high quality, local green space beneficial for older people, who are more likely to be more dependent on their immediate community environment than a person of working age\(^79\).

Providing universal access to green spaces for women and children, older people and people with disabilities by 2030 is a target for Sustainable Development Goal 11: Make cities inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable\(^84\).
Transport systems

Transport environments can have a significant impact on the drivers of overweight and obesity within a population. For example, greater levels of active transport (walking, cycling, public transport) may offset some of the adverse impacts of the consumption of energy-dense, cheap foods and beverages, while similarly high levels of passive and inactive transport via private car can amplify the effect of consuming these products. The evidence of the detrimental effect of physical inactivity is also increasing: the WHO found in 2008 that, globally, around 31 per cent of people aged 15 years and over (28 per cent men and 34 per cent women) were insufficiently active, and estimate around 3.2 million deaths annually are attributable to physical inactivity. Further, the Global Burden of Disease study found that physical inactivity accounted for 2.8 per cent of disability-adjusted life years lost (DALYs), and together with dietary risk factors collective accounted for 10 per cent of global DALYs in 2010. Physical inactivity is a contributing factor to several NCDs, including breast cancer, colon cancer, diabetes, ischaemic heart disease and ischaemic stroke.

In New Zealand and in Australia, the numbers of people commuting via active transport is minor compared to those travelling via car. In New Zealand in 2013, more than 70 per cent of workers travelled to work via car (private and company vehicle), with more than 65 per cent of commuters in Auckland using car transport rather than active transport options. In Australia, nearly two thirds of workers (over six million people) travelled to work via car, with more than 55 per cent of commuters in Sydney, Melbourne and Brisbane travelling via car.

Method of commuting has been shown to have an independent effect on objectively-measured BMI: a cross-sectional study using commuters aged between 40-69 years (a critical time period for age-related weight gain) who transitioned from car commuting at baseline to active transport (including public transport) modes at follow-up found this cohort had an average BMI decrease of 0.3 kg/m². For the cohort switching from active transport at baseline to car commuting, the study found an average BMI increase of 0.3 kg/m² at follow up. These findings show that the incidental physical activity associated with changing from sedentary or passive commuting to active transport modes could have a role in obesity prevention for people travelling to and from work or education.

Societal determinants of health

Underpinning obesogenic environments are the societal determinants of health. These are the political, economic, commercial and socio-cultural systems which create the conditions under which people live, businesses operate, wealth is distributed, governance decisions are made, commercial conflicts of interest in policy-making are managed, government spending is allocated, democratic institutions operate, education is financed and so on. These all have critical impacts on population health outcomes and the health sector has a role in ensuring that the policies and economic levers which influence these determinants promote health rather than ill-health, including obesity. Ideally, these would be best addressed by a Health in All Policies approach whereby government policies in non-health areas including education, finance, business, local government, transport, urban design and employment take into account their impact on health and explicitly seek to improve the health of New Zealanders and Australians. The co-benefits of addressing the underlying societal determinants of health are significant and will contribute to gains in workplace productivity, education outcomes, reduced prevalence of noncommunicable diseases, and improved health-related quality of life.
Recommendations to implement obesity prevention policies and address societal determinants of health

The RACP recommends New Zealand and Australia:

- Introduce regulations to restrict the marketing of unhealthy diets to children and young people
- Implement an effective tax on sugar-sweetened beverages to reduce consumption – and use the revenue thus generated to facilitate access to healthy diets and culturally relevant initiatives to improve health equity
- Revise the Health Star Rating system’s nutrient profiling algorithm to give stronger weight to sugar content, and by 2019 require that the labelling be mandatory to encourage consumers to choose healthier options and motivate food manufacturers to reformulate and develop healthier products
- Set targets for reducing mean population intakes of nutrients associated with unhealthy diets based on World Health Organization recommendations
- Introduce a health and wellbeing principle as part of local government decision-making when considering land use planning and zoning permissions
- Implement consistent healthy food and drink service policies which promote and enable healthy diets
- Implement a health-in-all-policies approach across government, including transportation and urban planning design, prioritising active transport and active recreation solutions

The RACP will:

- Seek the support of other Colleges and medical organisations for the RACP position statement
- In concert with other organisations, advocate for the above policies and for meaningful action to address the societal determinants of obesity and health more broadly in New Zealand and Australia at government, society and community levels
What can be done: Health system actions

End weight bias and stigmatisation

The health sector has a vital role in advocating for strong prevention measures; creating health systems which provide optimum care and support for patients and their families/whānau dealing with the problems of obesity; and providing leadership in reducing the consequences of weight bias. Weight bias is highly prevalent in society, including among health professionals, and this is very damaging to the mental, emotional and social wellbeing to people with obesity. Medical professionals have reported feeling ill-equipped to manage patients with obesity and obesity-related comorbidities and request more training in motivational interviewing and behavioural techniques.96 97. Medical professionals may also have concerns that mentioning a person’s weight as a potential health risk during a consultation exposes them to perceptions of stigmatising by the patient. All health professionals should be encouraged to undertake training on weight bias and how to have sensitive conversations about weight.

One survey of physicians found that the categories of the 5A’s of behavioural counselling (Assess, Advise, Agree, Assist and Arrange) were associated with particular attitude factors; for example, physicians with lower levels of competency in assessing risk in patients with obesity may have greater levels of uneasiness overall in treating and managing obesity96. A survey of people with obesity and health care practitioners found that 65 per cent of health care practitioners stated that they believed their patients would be too embarrassed to bring up their weight in the consultation, and therefore did not ask. This contrasted with people with obesity, of whom only 15 per cent responded that they were too embarrassed to discuss their weight in a consultation with a health care practitioner. Proactive engagement from health care practitioners is cited as one potential pathway to addressing downstream barriers in access to treatment and support99.

Health care professionals and health care environments should minimise bias when treating or managing the health care of people with obesity so that their dignity is respected and maintained, including training in lifting and transferring people with obesity safely100. Hospitals, clinics, services and health care facilities must ensure size-appropriate furnishings, equipment, supplies and instruments are available and accessible, including scales, theatre tables, Zimmer frames, commodes, hoists, bed frames, pressure-relieving mattresses and seating101.

Actions at key points in the life course to address childhood obesity

Life course epidemiology understands human development through biological, psychosocial and behavioural processes, examining and tracking the impact of risk factors and protective factors on the development of chronic disease. The life course is a trajectory from conception through to death, and is sensitive to socioeconomic systems and social determinants. Factors affecting development can build up (accumulated risk); be time based (critical periods, latency periods); or relate to different biopsychosocial mechanisms (mediating and modifying factors)102 103. Time-based critical periods within the life course posit that disease causation can be traced to exposures at specific time periods in development, “altering structures or functions of biological systems that without mediation or modification may precipitate disease later in life”102.
The WHO Commission on Ending Childhood Obesity identifies three critical periods in the life course where specific interventions can make the greatest gains in addressing childhood obesity: pre-conception and pregnancy; infancy and early childhood; and older childhood and adolescence. Intervention during these periods in the life course to address childhood obesity can be aligned and embedded into other programmes to improve maternal, neonatal and child health, for example, improving maternal and infant nutrition, breastfeeding, and appropriate weaning.

Maternal obesity prior to conception and during pregnancy is recognised as an independent risk factor for adverse outcomes for mother and baby, and there is emerging evidence on the role of paternal obesity in childhood obesity outcomes. Obesity-related complications during pregnancy include gestational diabetes mellitus, hypertension, pre-eclampsia, thromboembolism, and induction and delivery by caesarean section. Babies exposed to maternal obesity or diabetes in utero are at greater risk of childhood obesity and metabolic syndrome as they grow up. Recognising the critical period of pregnancy in relation to longer term health outcomes for mother and baby, the WHO recommends that governments “integrate and strengthen guidance for noncommunicable disease prevention with current guidance for preconception and antenatal care, to reduce the risk of child obesity”.

Current maternal guidance in New Zealand

The New Zealand Childhood Obesity Plan (2015) recognises maternal obesity as a significant risk factor for complications in pregnancy and longer-term outcomes for babies, and includes three health system actions to reduce the risks associated with maternal obesity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Childhood Obesity Plan Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guidance for healthy weight gain in pregnancy</td>
<td>Around 30 per cent of women of normal weight and 60 per cent of women with obesity gain more than the recommended weight during pregnancy. The guideline advises on nutrition and physical activity to support a healthy pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical guidelines for gestational diabetes screening</td>
<td>The Ministry of Health estimates that the introduction of the guideline will lead to 600 more women being diagnosed with T2DM in early pregnancy and approximately 1200 more will be diagnosed with gestational diabetes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referrals to Green Prescription programme (GRx) for pregnant women at risk of gestational diabetes</td>
<td>A GRx for women at risk of gestational diabetes will provide additional support, information and resources on nutrition and physical activity to support a healthy pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Ministry of Health recommends all women are tested for gestational diabetes. The introduction of guidelines to screen for gestational diabetes in 2014 is a response to the need for evidence-based guidance on screening, diagnosis and management for gestational diabetes in New Zealand. The National Women’s Clinical Report for 2016 shows that between 1991 and 2012 (prior to the introduction of the guideline), rates of gestational diabetes in women who gave birth at National Women’s Hospital...
increased steadily, from less than 2 per cent in 1991 to over 10 per cent in 2012\textsuperscript{111}. Rates of gestational diabetes vary by ethnicity, with rates highest among Asian (8.1 per cent) Pasifika (7.2 per cent) and Middle Eastern, Latin American and African populations (7.5 per cent)\textsuperscript{110}. A strong association between high BMI and increased risk of gestational diabetes is observed in the National Women’s clinical report, and is summarised in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI range</th>
<th>Number of women with gestational diabetes (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 18.5</td>
<td>21 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5-24.99</td>
<td>306 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29.99</td>
<td>160 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34.99</td>
<td>83 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39.99</td>
<td>50 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 40.0</td>
<td>34 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The guideline acknowledges that the evidence is not conclusive on a best practice screening and diagnosis tool for gestational diabetes, due to current differences in New Zealand and international literature. One observational study concluded that adding the glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) screening to first antenatal blood tests offers a universal pregnancy screening, which the authors note is likely to benefit populations with high rates of undiagnosed T2DM and low rates of gestational diabetes later during pregnancy\textsuperscript{110} \textsuperscript{112}. Results from randomised trials, including the current Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Study of Diagnostic Thresholds (GEMS) will provide evidence on whether the current recommended blood glucose threshold is working for New Zealand women, or if it requires adjusting to a lower level\textsuperscript{113}.

**Current guidance to reduce childhood obesity in New Zealand**

Childhood overweight and obesity has increased rapidly in developed and developing countries since the 1980s, including in New Zealand and Australia\textsuperscript{114}. As previously stated, childhood obesity is a risk factor for adverse outcomes in physical and mental health and wellbeing in childhood, and for the early onset of risk factors for noncommunicable diseases in young adulthood\textsuperscript{12} \textsuperscript{13} \textsuperscript{14}.

The New Zealand Childhood Obesity Plan (now Programme) is a suite of 22 initiatives designed to target resources, provide additional support or implement population-level approaches (some ongoing, some time-limited). The Programme is multi-sectoral, with involvement across the Ministries of Health and Education, with some involvement from private and industry sectors, such as food, advertising and marketing.
The weight management guidelines for children and young people (updated as part of the Programme in 2016) use health literacy and cultural competence frameworks to promote communication and shared understanding, which is essential to reducing weight bias and stigma, and develop strategies which meet the needs of children, their families and whānau. Food, nutrition, physical activity and sleep are components of the Childhood Obesity Plan and subsequent Programme. Both the Plan and the Programme’s greater emphasis on a holistic assessment of health and wellbeing is visible in the four indicator groups monitored to measure progress:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Group</th>
<th>Elements covered by the Indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More children are physically active</td>
<td>Sedentary activities and screen time; sleep duration; physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More children eat well</td>
<td>Breastfeeding; fast food consumption; sugar-sweetened beverage consumption; fruit and vegetable intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s living environments support physical activity and healthy living</td>
<td>Awareness of the Health Star Rating; active transport to and from school; bicycle use; water in schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More children have improved health outcomes</td>
<td>BMI; gestational diabetes; raising healthy kids health target; birth weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Plan includes a measurement target, “Raising Healthy Kids”, which aims to refer 95 per cent of four-year olds with obesity as identified at the B4 School Check (B4SC) to a health professional for clinical assessment and family-based nutrition, physical activity and lifestyle interventions. The current referral rate for Quarter 4 (to June 2017) is 91 per cent across the four-year-old child population. Referrals are reasonably consistent across ethnicity and neighbourhood deprivation. The target identifies children with obesity by BMI, using the 98th-centile of the WHO BMI reference values to define obesity. This classification system will be implemented in the New Zealand Health Survey in 2017/18 for children aged 5-14 years. The Health Survey has previously used the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) cutoffs and the alignment with the Raising Healthy Kids target will enable greater consistency across childhood obesity data.

The need for a single growth standard was highlighted by the WHO in 2007:

- Monitoring, surveillance and reporting of child growth and development should be consistent
- The need to align with the WHO growth standards for children aged 2-5 years
- Comparability for childhood overweight and obesity prevalence across countries

The WHO growth standard for children from birth to 5 years shifted perceptions of child growth as it offered a standard for how children should grow, on average, in all countries, based on evidence and best practice (including breastfeeding, immunisation) rather than a descriptive measure of how children grew at a specific place and time.

Using WHO growth standards in New Zealand for all children would ensure that the growth of children and young people is charted without regional variation, and enables parents, caregivers, family/whānau
and health practitioners to track child growth accurately, and engage in early intervention when necessary. The RACP recommends that regular weight and height measures at least every 12 months are recorded to calculate BMI, rather than health practitioners waiting until a child is visibly overweight.

Children and young people living with obesity must have access to funded, multidisciplinary treatment and management pathways, including specialist paediatric and adolescent medicine services, dietitian services, counselling services. Access to whānau-based services, such as Whānau Ora, or the Green Prescription (GRx) for Families may be effective to support sustainable changes and support parents, siblings and families/whānau achieve optimal health in the longer term.

Current maternal and childhood guidance to reduce and manage obesity in Australia

It is estimated that between 2000 and 2010, the rate of obesity in pregnancy had trebled in Australia. Obesity in pregnancy not only has significant impacts on the health system in terms of costs and support required but also increases the risk of difficulties in pregnancy and birth including miscarriages, still births and health complications for the newborns. There are a range of resources addressing overweight and obesity in pregnancy available from Commonwealth, State and Territory Governments health departments in Australia; however, given the prevalence of overweight and obesity across the Australian population and the significant health complications for pregnant women and their babies, it is concerning that at present there is no nationally coordinated obesity strategy in Australia.

The Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand has recently launched a position statement on pre-pregnancy obesity which the RACP has endorsed. This document sets out a number of key messages to address pre-pregnancy obesity including:

- Providing women with appropriate information on the importance of a healthy weight for themselves and future children
- Educating health professionals in providing information to women throughout their reproductive lifespan to ensure adequate sensitive counselling and referral to a weight reduction specialist if required
- Health professionals considering the complex drivers of obesity including social and environmental determinants when providing advice to women.
- Undertaking further research to identify appropriate public health messages and information for individual women to reduce pre-pregnancy obesity; to identify effective interventions to prevent weight retention after childbirth.

The Australian Government’s Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults, Adolescents and Children in Australia developed by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) contains five key evidence-based messages for clinicians treating adolescents and children:

- Use BMI charts to monitor growth.
- Promote physical activity, dietary modification and healthy behaviours to families.
- Aim for weight maintenance rather than weight loss.
- Refer for further assessment and specialist assistance with lifestyle interventions if warranted.
- Encourage reduced sitting time.
The RACP finds that together with nutrition and physical activity, adequate sleep is a key component to children’s growth and development, and is important throughout the life course for health and wellbeing. Research has found a strong association between sleep patterns and duration and BMI, particularly for preschool children. Parents, caregivers, family and whānau should have equitable access to evidence-informed resources and information on nutrition (including breastfeeding), physical activity and sleep duration for newborns, preschool-aged children, older children and adolescents.

**Weight management for adults**

The goal of adult weight management should be to achieve a level of optimal level of health regardless of weight and manage treatable risk factors. Weight loss is difficult to achieve for many people with obesity, and even more difficult to sustain long-term. Every year, between 25 and 65 per cent of women and between 10 and 40 per cent of men will attempt dieting to lose weight. While some will achieve weight loss, this is rarely maintained in the longer term, as many will regain the weight lost, leading to further cycles of dieting: a pattern referred to as weight cycling, or yo-yo dieting. For people who experience cycles of intentional weight loss and unintentional weight regain, there may be positive consequences, such as improved blood pressure, blood glucose, and blood lipids during those periods of reduced weight but these need to be tempered by the potential negative consequences of a sense of failure in people who regain the weight they had lost and a metabolic profile which promotes further weight gain, such as a low resting metabolic rate.

Therefore, caution needs to be exercised before advising patients to invest substantial time, effort and often money in weight loss diets or pharmacological therapies. The clear evidence from all non-surgical weight loss programs is that, on average, the lost weight will be regained. This careful assessment of the positive and negative consequences of weight loss programs and caution in advising patients to go on them is not evident in current weight management guidelines in Australia or New Zealand. The overall goal of any treatment must be to optimise the health, including mental health, of the patient and this can goal is valid at any weight.

Existing clinical guidelines in Australia emphasise weight loss as the central goal of obesity management and include examples of some of the health benefits of achieving and sustaining weight loss of 5 per cent or more. Current clinical guidance in New Zealand encourages health professionals to build relationships with patients with obesity and look for nutrition, activity and behaviour interventions to make incremental, sustainable lifestyle changes. Revisions of current guidelines need to take a more cautionary approach towards automatically recommending weight loss diets and pharmacological therapies.

**All people with obesity must be supported to optimise their health**

People with overweight and obesity, particularly those who have experienced repeated unsuccessful attempts at weight loss or weight cycling must be supported by physicians and all health practitioners to achieve the most optimal level of health, irrespective of their weight. All health practitioners should
work with people to manage risk factors such as hypertension and conditions such as diabetes, sleep apnoea and metabolic syndrome under control.

Epidemiological studies have found that as age increases, average body weight gradually increases throughout young adulthood and middle age and this weight trajectory is also affected by the socioeconomic gradient and social determinants of health\textsuperscript{133,134}. Nutrition and physical activity interventions in the weight trajectory will, on average, result in weight loss, weight cycling or weight stability, though a minority of people will maintain sustained weight loss over time\textsuperscript{134}.

The RACP supports weight management strategies for all adults through the life course by regular and opportunistic monitoring of nutrition, physical activity, sleep, BMI and waist circumference to reduce the risk of developing noncommunicable diseases. For adults who are within normal and overweight BMI ranges, physicians should continue to monitor nutrition, physical activity, sleep, BMI and waist circumference to minimise age-related weight gain.

Management strategies should focus on:

- appropriate nutrition and physical activity advice with the goal to managing treatable risk factors, such as hypertension and diabetes
- Building understanding of the positive consequences of weight loss while acknowledging the potential for weight regain and weight cycling
- incorporate a respectful understanding of people’s social, cultural, economic and whānau contexts
- regular monitoring of weight, nutrition and physical activity levels for all adults to ensure that weight management strategies can be implemented before people develop obesity

Where clinically indicated:

- People who meet the clinical criteria for bariatric surgery should be referred
- Pharmacological interventions (weight loss medications) could be considered for non-surgical weight loss support, particularly for people for whom weight cycling is an issue, though careful monitoring is recommended; assessment of risk factors

**Current Australian Guidelines for overweight and obesity in adults**

The Australian Government’s current *Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults, Adolescents and Children in Australia* were developed in 2013 by the NHMRC\textsuperscript{124}. These guidelines are intended for use by clinicians and follow the ‘5As’ framework for the provision of preventive care in primary care: Ask and Assess, Advise, Assist, Arrange as outlined in Table 1 below.
Ask about and Assess weight

1. Use BMI to classify overweight or obesity in adults
2. For adults, use waist circumference, in addition to BMI, to refine assessment of risk of obesity-related co-morbidities
3. For adults who are overweight or obese, discuss readiness to change lifestyle behaviours

Advise adults about the health benefits of lifestyle change and weight loss

4. Adults who are overweight or obese can be strongly advised that modest weight loss reduces cardiovascular risk factors
5. Adults with pre-diabetes or diabetes can be strongly advised that the health benefits of modest weight loss include prevention, delayed progression or improved control of type 2 diabetes
6. Adults with kidney disease or sleep apnoea can be advised that improvements in these conditions are associated with a five per cent weight loss
7. Adults with musculoskeletal problems, gastro-oesophageal reflux or urinary incontinence can be advised that weight loss of five per cent or more may improve symptoms
8. Adults who are overweight or obese can be advised that quality of life, self-esteem and depression may improve with small amounts of weight loss

Advise adults to lose weight through lifestyle interventions

9. For adults who are overweight or obese, strongly recommend lifestyle change – including reduced energy intake, increased physical activity and measures to support behavioural change
10. For adults who are overweight or obese, design dietary interventions that produce a 2500 kilojoule per day energy deficit and tailor programs to the dietary preferences of the individual.
11. For adults who are overweight or obese, prescribe approximately 300 minutes of moderate intensity activity, or 150 minutes of vigorous activity, or an equivalent combination of moderate intensity and vigorous activities each week combined with reduced dietary intake.

Assist adults who require additional intensive intervention

12. For adults with BMI ≥ 30 kg/m², or adults with BMI ≥ 27 kg/m² and comorbidities, orlistat may be considered as an adjunct to lifestyle interventions, taking into account the individual situation
13. For adults with BMI > 40 kg/m², or adults with BMI > 35 kg/m² and comorbidities that may improve with weight loss, bariatric surgery may be considered, taking into account the individual situation.

**Develop an appropriate weight loss program**

14. For adults, include a self-management approach in weight management programs.
15. For active weight management in adults, arrange fortnightly review for the first 3 months and plan for continuing monitoring for at least 12 months, with additional intervention as required.

**Long-term weight management**

16. For adults who achieve initial weight loss, strongly recommend the adoption of specific strategies, appropriate to their individual situation, to minimise weight regain.

The accompanying Summary Guide outlines six key messages to promote obesity management for adults in primary care:

1. Measure waist circumference in addition to calculating BMI
2. Discuss readiness to change lifestyle behaviours
3. Convey the message that even small amounts of weight loss improve health and wellbeing
4. Use multicomponent approaches — these work better than single interventions
5. Refer appropriately to assist people to make lifestyle changes or for further intervention
6. Support a self-management approach and provide ongoing monitoring

The Australian guidelines for overweight and obesity need to be revised to include the caution about the high chances of weight regain after a weight loss program and to incorporate new evidence that has emerged in the last 5 years, particularly regarding the management of treatable risk factors and controlling of noncommunicable disease risk factors.

Updates to guidelines should include explicit reference to direct and indirect weight bias experienced by people with obesity in their interactions with the health system, particularly the physical and built environment and in their relationships with health care practitioners. Hospitals, clinics and other health care providers must ensure the physical environment meets the needs of people with obesity and that all practitioners and multidisciplinary team members are competent in recognising and minimising bias and negative attitudes towards people with obesity.

**Current New Zealand guidelines for weight management in adults**

The most recent Ministry of Health clinical guidelines for weight management for New Zealand adults aged 15 years and over were released in November 2017. The guidelines use an adult weight management framework which seeks to (1) monitor; (2) assess; (3) manage; and (4) maintain contact and support.
The Food, Activity and Behaviour (FAB) model recommended in the guideline stresses that strategies for lifestyle changes need to be adapted to a person’s lived reality, considering individual, whānau and community settings. Ensuring that a person’s diet is nutritious, they are achieving moderate to vigorous physical activity regularly, and are getting enough sleep are the integral components of weight management, which are reinforced by behavioural support for sustained lifestyle changes. The Eating and Activity and Weight Management guidelines include suggested diet and activity exchanges: people can make incremental changes to their diets and physical activity levels, for example swapping sugar-sweetened beverages for water, and using the stairs instead of lifts and escalators.\(^\text{136}\)\(^\text{137}\)

Health practitioners are encouraged to build relationships with patients, respecting the patient’s autonomy, minimising weight stigmatisation and bias, and collaborate in goal-setting activities. The guideline supports cultural competence by recommending clinicians:

- Build relationships on mutual trust to understand people’s lived realities, including social determinants, cultural imperatives and socioeconomic circumstances
- Develop collaborative partnerships with Māori health providers, Whānau Ora providers, Pacific providers and other community-based organisations
- Use ‘teach back’ techniques during clinical consultations to ensure people understand what is being said.\(^\text{136}\)

**Pharmacotherapies for weight loss**

The RACP finds that evidence of efficacy and safety in the long term use of weight loss medicines is limited. The short-term use of weight loss medicines must be carefully monitored, given the evidence of interaction, contraindication, and potential for harm.\(^\text{124}\)\(^\text{136}\)\(^\text{138}\). Other pharmaceuticals promising to ‘treat’ obesity are likely to enter the market in ensuing years. The RACP is concerned that their safety and efficacy are very carefully considered. For more information on weight loss medications approved for use in New Zealand and Australia, see Appendix 3: Pharmacotherapies for weight loss.

**Community and commercial programmes**

Commercial and community-based weight loss programmes are common globally. In New Zealand, publicly-funded programmes such as the Ministry of Health’s Green Prescription programme and international commercial weight loss franchises including Weight Watchers and Jenny Craig are available. It is important for people beginning intensive weight loss programmes to maintain realistic expectations, and clinicians are encouraged to continue to support their patients beyond the programme’s time limits.

**New Zealand Green Prescription**

Current guidelines recommend health practitioners refer people to weight management support services including the Green Prescription (GRx) programme. A GRx is written advice for people to increase their physical activity and improve their nutrition as part of health management. It is a non-surgical, multi-component intervention, involving regular meetings by phone or in person with a GRx support person, and focuses on motivating patients, goal-setting, discussing activity options and nutritional advice. The GRx and the GRx Active Families programmes are provided through regional sport and recreation services, and managed by DHBs.\(^\text{139}\). Results from the 2016 survey of the GRx...
show that the majority of GRx were issued for weight issues (53 per cent), followed by hypertension/risk of stroke (25 per cent), back pain (22 per cent) arthritis (20 per cent) and stress (20 per cent).140

Findings from the NZ Health Survey show that 14.3 per cent of New Zealand adults experience unmet primary health care needs, with women more likely than men to have unmet primary health care (17.5 per cent compared to 10.9 per cent) due to cost.141 Māori and Pasifika and those living in the most deprived areas are also more likely to have unmet primary health care needs; the over-representation of these groups in noncommunicable disease statistics has led some researchers to suggest that those who are more likely to benefit from the GRx programme are less likely to have access to it.142

**Commercial weight loss programmes**

While commercial weight loss programmes require a financial investment (costs of the programme and for some, costs of programme-branded food or meal replacements), there is evidence that people who took part in commercial programmes achieved greater weight loss at 12 months follow-up.143 People may benefit from these programmes, particularly the counselling and support provided to participants. Clinicians should advise people to maintain realistic expectations and understanding of the potential for some weight regain after the programme has ended.

A parallel, two-arm randomised trial for a very brief (30 seconds) intervention for obesity in primary care (free referral to a commercial weight loss programme) found that nearly twice as many (25 per cent) participants in the intervention group had lost 10 per cent of their bodyweight at 12 months follow-up compared to 14 per cent in the control group. Of the total participants, more than 80 per cent reported that the intervention was appropriate and helpful, while less than one per cent responded that the intervention was inappropriate and unhelpful.144 This study shows that, with appropriate primary care and community support systems in place, an opportunistic, very short intervention can be effective for people with obesity.

**Provide equitable access to bariatric surgery for public hospital patients**

Bariatric surgery as a treatment for morbid and severe obesity is increasing internationally, with more than half a million procedures performed in 2014, up from 468,609 in 2013.145,146 The most frequently-performed operations in 2014 were the sleeve gastrectomy (45.9 per cent), the Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) (39.6 per cent), and laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB) (7.4 per cent).146 A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised control trials of bariatric surgery compared to non-surgical treatment (such as improving diet and exercise, behavioural or lifestyle modification, pharmacotherapy) for obesity found greater percentage of excess body weight lost, higher rates of remission of T2DM and metabolic syndrome, reduced waist circumference and improved quality of life for participants who had undergone bariatric surgery versus the non-surgical group.147

Bariatric surgery is also cost effective, as it reduces pressure on health systems, leads to improvements in productivity, and reduces premature mortality from comorbid conditions, such as T2DM.148,149,150,151,152,153 In New Zealand, the direct costs associated with obesity in 2004 were estimated to be $460 million, and indirect costs $370 million.154 In Australia, people with known diabetes had annual direct
healthcare costs of AUD$4390 in 2004/5 – if a person had complications, the direct annual costs more than doubled to AUD$9628 over the same time period\textsuperscript{155}. Bariatric surgery produces lifetime savings in healthcare spend, increased QALYS, and is less expensive than conventional therapies for T2DM. As with any surgical procedure, a degree of risk is involved, and the most common adverse events following bariatric surgery were found by one meta-analysis to be iron deficiency anemia (15 per cent) and reoperation (8 per cent)\textsuperscript{147}. A randomised clinical trial comparing outcomes between cohorts who received diet and physical activity advice and those who received surgery (either RYGB or LAGB) and advice reported no additional surgical interventions at one year of follow-up, and there were no LAGB removals or replacements\textsuperscript{156}. Evidence shows a return on investment in bariatric surgery for people with T2DM is between two and four years\textsuperscript{148,149}. 

Clinical guidelines in New Zealand, Australia and in the United Kingdom state that bariatric surgery is not clinically indicated for adolescents under the age of 14 years\textsuperscript{101, 124, 136}. Young people with morbid or severe obesity must meet criteria to be eligible, including physical growth and development milestones; the presence of obesity-related co-morbidities; and the ability to give informed consent. A coordinated and ongoing multidisciplinary approach prior to and following surgery should include nutrition and physical activity interventions, pharmacotherapy and counselling\textsuperscript{157}.

**Bariatric surgery in New Zealand**

In New Zealand, around 900 bariatric surgeries are performed annually: half funded through the public health system and half through private health providers, with the most prevalent procedures (sleeve gastrectomy, RYGB and gastric banding) reflecting the international trends\textsuperscript{158}. New Zealand has recently introduced a registry for bariatric surgery, enabling patient outcomes to be compared, such as diabetes status and weight change, and measure any complications arising from the procedure\textsuperscript{159}.

**Bariatric surgery in Australia**

The Australian NHMRC’s clinical practice guidelines published in 2013 states that for adults, “bariatric surgery is currently the most effective intervention for severe obesity”\textsuperscript{124}. While the total number of bariatric surgeries has been increasing since 2011-12, there remain large discrepancies in access to weight loss surgery for public and private patients in Australia. In 2014-15, 22,713 bariatric operations were carried out and of those, only 2,720 (12 per cent) were undertaken in public hospitals\textsuperscript{160}. 

RACP Evidence review on obesity
In 2012, a prospective cohort study of over 49,000 Australians with obesity stated that their “findings suggest that bariatric surgery, a Medical Benefits Scheme-listed procedure, is currently largely available only to those who can afford private health insurance and the associated out-of-pocket costs, with poor access to these cost-effective procedures in the section of the population that is most in need” and that “continuing inequity in access is likely to exacerbate existing inequalities in obesity and related health problems”.

Bariatric surgery is an effective intervention for the treatment of severe obesity. Patients who meet the criteria should be referred for assessment. Greater access to this intervention through the public health system in Australia and New Zealand will remove barriers to accessing surgery and reduce existing inequities in obesity-related noncommunicable disease.

**Recommendations for health system actions**

The RACP recommends governments in New Zealand and Australia:

- Introduce a consistent national growth standard for child development based on the World Health Organization standards
- Actively promote national dietary and activity guidelines
- Provide equitable access to bariatric surgery in public hospitals for all suitable patients who have severe obesity
- Promote monitoring of weight as a vital sign to prevent age-related weight gain
The RACP recommends the Federal Government of Australia:

- Develop, support, update and monitor comprehensive and consistent diet, physical activity and weight management guidelines for children, with a focus on critical periods in the life course (pre-conception, antenatal, infancy and early childhood)
- Revise clinical guidelines for weight management of adults to incorporate:
  - The evidence on the low likelihood of long-term efficacy and potential detrimental effects for repeated attempts at weight loss
  - An emphasis on the importance of optimising health and managing treatable risk factors at any weight
  - The need to ensure the physical environment meets the needs of people with obesity and minimise the direct and indirect impacts of weight bias in the health system

The RACP recommends the New Zealand government:

- Review the existing Childhood Obesity Plan with the intention to expand the Plan to include the WHO-recommended, effective interventions to reduce childhood obesity
- Supports, promotes, regularly updates and monitors the nutrition, weight management and physical activity guidelines for children, young people and adults

The RACP will:

- Encourage RACP members to support individual and family/whānau to optimise their health irrespective of weight through improved nutrition and physical activity, and ensure patient education is specific, actionable and achievable
- Advocate for: consistent, WHO standards for defining childhood obesity; greater promotion of nutrition and physical activity guidelines; revised management guidelines to take a more balanced approach to recommending weight loss for people with obesity; the inclusion of weight as a vital sign and; greater public access for bariatric surgery

Priority populations: Indigenous peoples who have experienced colonisation

Indigenous peoples who have experienced colonisation have poorer health outcomes across a range of health indicators than the non-Indigenous population. For example, the average life expectancy for the Indigenous peoples of Aotearoa New Zealand, Australia, Canada and the United States of America is lower than that of the non-Indigenous population, and Indigenous peoples will, on average, have fewer years of good health\textsuperscript{162, 163, 164, 165}. 
Māori, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders have a disproportionately high burden of ill-health attributed to overweight and obesity compared to non-Indigenous populations, with the exception of Pasifika in New Zealand. As social and economic conditions changed through the twentieth century, Indigenous peoples began to migrate to urban centres for employment, increasing their exposure and access to processed foods high in sugar and salt, and reducing levels of physical activity. The poorer health outcomes experienced by Indigenous populations are strongly associated with the Social Determinants of Health which encompass the conditions under which people are born, grow, live, work and age (i.e. social, economic, political, cultural and physical circumstances), and are then compounded by the traumatic effects of colonisation. The de-territorialisation and alienation from land, and the imposition of social, cultural and economic structures upon Indigenous peoples was largely without consultation, consent or choice – as such, the unique status history, and the impact of legislative, regulatory or policy changes on Indigenous populations must be considered separately from generalised discussion.

Indigenous approaches and ways of being emphasise the wants and needs of the collective: reciprocity, kinship, and a sense of belonging inform the sense of self which is embedded within whānau relationships and the wider community. Some evidence-based public health models to combat obesity have focused on a central assumption: that individual behaviour (framed as personal responsibility) is driven by an information deficit (if people had greater access to messaging around healthy lifestyles, they would apply this messaging for their own benefit). This biomedical model approach to obesity isolates people from their lived sociocultural and economic realities, and interprets “the sociocultural factors around food as relevant only in how they operate as a barrier or an enhancement to people achieving the ‘correct’ diet”.

While policymakers have developed programmes and services which expand the biomedical model to a biopsychosocial model encompassing some elements of social and economic realities, these remain focused on the individual. This model has done little to achieve health equity for Indigenous populations, rather the focus on the individual/mainstream model of health and disease over the collective or whānau-based approach means the health gap between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians and New Zealanders has persisted. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children are nearly twice as likely to be obese compared to their non-Indigenous counterparts; and in New Zealand, Māori children are one and a half times as likely to be obese compared to non-Māori children. The culture, practices and world-view of Indigenous peoples are marginalised within the mainstream discourse, meaning that solutions to public health issues such as obesity are homogenised, and do not incorporate Indigenous knowledge to inform action for groups which are adversely affected.

Australia: Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples

It has been estimated that obesity contributes 16 per cent of the health gap between Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and the total Australian population. In the Northern Territory, the excess burden of obesity amongst Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples has been estimated to explain 1 to 3 years (9 to 17 per cent) of the life expectancy gap.

The National Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Organisation (NACCHO) finds that “the underlying causes of poor Indigenous health can be attributed to social and economic exclusion, unemployment, low income, poor housing and sanitation, poor education, and lack of adequate
Studies have attributed between one-third and one-half of the health gap between Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and non-Indigenous Australians to differences in socio-economic status such as education, employment and income\textsuperscript{175, 177, 178}. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples also have poorer access to health care services than the non-Indigenous Australian population\textsuperscript{179}.

The latest available data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics shows that obesity rates for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander males and females were significantly higher than the comparable rates for non-Indigenous people. For example, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children aged 2–14 years were significantly more likely than non-Indigenous children to have obesity (10.2 per cent compared with 6.5 per cent); and in 2012–13, two-thirds (66 per cent) Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people aged 15 years and over were overweight (28.6 per cent) or obese (37.4 per cent), according to their BMI\textsuperscript{167}. Obesity is associated with risk factors for the main causes of morbidity and mortality among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. It impacts largely through diabetes (half of the obesity burden) and ischaemic heart disease (40 per cent)\textsuperscript{174}.

It is essential to situate the underlying causes of poorer health within a broader context of historical trauma and proscribed fragmentation in Australia where, until the late twentieth century, “Indigenous people have had little power to influence these factors and the public policy decisions that affect their lives and health”\textsuperscript{176}. Further, the “Commonwealth has only had the power to act in Indigenous affairs since 1967, and only recently has it generally been accepted that Indigenous people should have control over their own lives and enjoy the same rights as other Australians”\textsuperscript{167}. In addition, racism and discrimination towards Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples are widespread and this also impacts negatively on both their physical and mental health. As outlined in the Australian Government’s latest Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Performance Framework 2014 Report, “there are a number of pathways from racism to ill-health, including: reduced access to societal resources such as education, employment, housing and medical care; inequitable exposure to risk factors including stress and cortisol dysregulation affecting mental health (anxiety and depression); immune, endocrine, cardiovascular and other physiological systems; and injury from racially motivated assault”\textsuperscript{180}.

Programmes to improve the health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples: the Ngaanvatjarra Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Women’s Council Aboriginal Corporation

The Ngaanvatjarra Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Women’s Council (NPYWC) Aboriginal Corporation is an example of a successful and long-running community-led organisation which takes a holistic approach to improving the health and well-being of its communities through its Child Nutrition and Well-being Program amongst other initiatives. The NPYWC is a community-led organisation which was formed in 1980. It covers an area of 300,000 square kilometres in the tri-state region of the Northern Territory, South Australia and Western Australia. This area includes 26 remote Aboriginal communities and smaller homelands\textsuperscript{181}.

To be effective, programs and initiatives aimed at improving the health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples need to be community-driven which entails “a ground-up approach to development which allows the space for Aboriginal communities and their representative organisations to devise, plan, implement and manage (including monitoring and evaluation) programs which they deem relevant to their particular needs”\textsuperscript{182}. 

RACP Evidence review on obesity
In 1996, NPYWC established the Child Nutrition and Well-being Program. At the time, it received a six-month Commonwealth grant to teach young mothers to cook healthy meals for their children. The program is still active today although it now runs on a broader public health model which seeks to “address the wider social issues that affect child well-being and failure to thrive, such as domestic and family violence and Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder as well as combining prevention and intervention strategies to offer practical health to clients”.

The Child Nutrition and Well-being Program’s main components of case management, early intervention and prevention, and Intensive Family Support Service reflect the need to address these complex factors in a holistic and tailored way in order for the initiatives to be effective. In its work, NPYWC acknowledges and seeks to address the numerous factors that impact on the ability of parents feeding their children healthy and nutritious food including: “parents’ low educational attainment and therefore limited understanding of nutritional requirements, food labelling and household budgeting; and the often limited choices of reasonably-priced healthy food in remote stores, coupled with an increasing reliance on take-away or other convenience food with high fat, salt and or sugar content and little nutritional benefit”. The program has received several awards including State and National Winner Heart Foundation, for the Mai Wiru Stores Policy (with Nganampa Health Council Inc.) 2007; runner up, Outstanding Delivery in Child and Maternal Health: Excellence in Indigenous Health Awards, 2010.

The Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet website includes a searchable directory of both ongoing and completed programmes and projects that work to reduce the prevalence of overweight and obesity in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities across Australia.

Unfortunately, it is common for many projects and programmes to be hindered by a lack of sustained funding and capacity. In addition, a lack of evaluation inhibits long term extension and larger-scale roll-outs of successful programmes. Long term-funding directed at community-led organisations and programmes as well as routine monitoring and evaluation are essential to ensure the delivery of effective initiatives focused on improving the health and wellbeing of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities.

**Aotearoa New Zealand: Māori**

Around 15 per cent of New Zealand’s population identify as Māori. The 2016/17 update of the NZ Health Survey finds that the number of Māori adults (over 15 years) living with obesity is currently 50.2 per cent – a statistically significant increase of 8.6 percentage points since 2006/07. Health inequities persist between Māori and non-Māori: the two leading causes of relative health inequity between Māori and non-Māori are diabetes and cardiovascular disorders, where health loss is around twice as high for Māori than non-Māori. While obesity is relatively high across all ethnicities in New Zealand, Māori with obesity are more likely to develop comorbidities associated with high BMI and waist circumference than other ethnic groups, which has significant impacts on quality of life.

**Hauora Māori**

Te Ao Māori (the Māori world) understands health holistically (including individual, whānau, community levels) and can be interpreted through several models, such as Te Whare Tapa Wha (the four-sided...
house) and Te Waka o Meihana, which builds on Te Whare Tapa Wha by incorporating elements of the clinical encounter and doctor-patient relationship to ensure a culturally safe patient journey.\(^{187, 188}\)

Te Whare Tapa Wha is a model of Hauora Māori (Māori health) where four cornerstones of health become the four walls of a whare (house). The four cornerstones are of equal importance, and a person may become unwell when one of the four domains is missing or damaged. The four domains are taha tinana (physical health), taha wairua (spiritual health), taha whānau (family health) and taha hinengaro (mental health).\(^{187}\) The inclusion of taha whānau highlights the need for health services and interventions to be responsive to whānau and community needs beyond those of an individual. The Meihana model builds on the integral significance of whānau for the patient by structuring the relationship as a double-hulled waka (canoe) on a voyage that can be influenced and affected by nga hau e wha (the four winds): experiences of marginalisation, racism, the legacies of colonisation and migration – factors which may impact the journey to health and wellbeing.\(^{188}\)

Whānau health and wellbeing is also encouraged by whakawhanaungatanga (relationship building and connectedness) between patients, whānau and health practitioners. Interventions using hauora Māori and incorporating tikanga Māori (cultural principles/traditions) approaches in the delivery of health services and interventions, such as whanaungatanga, rangatiratanga (self-determination), kotahitanga (collaboration and teamwork), kaitiakitanga (respect for resources) and manaakitanga (kindness and reciprocation) have been shown to have a positive impact on whānau and contribute to health gains for Māori.\(^{189}\)

**PATU™ Aotearoa**

PATU™ Aotearoa is a social enterprise and health intervention initiative designed to actively support whānau to improve physical activity and nutrition. The programme combines group exercise in the form of high intensity interval training (HIIT), nutrition and lifestyle education with te reo me ōna tikanga Māori (Māori language and traditions).\(^{142}\) PATU™ was developed with Ngati Kuhungunu in the Hawke’s Bay, and the programme is now available in several centres in Aotearoa New Zealand with high Māori populations, including Kaikohe, Gisborne, Manurewa, Wairoa and Hastings.\(^{190}\) An evaluation of the pilot Hino Wero (Fat Challenge) found that whānau participating in the programme perceived PATU™ as a community: respondents described it as more than a gym, but an “urban marae” and a “positive gang” which encouraged whanaungatanga and built motivation among PATU™ whānau.\(^{191}\) Outside of the HIIT sessions, whānau could participate in wananga (learning groups) and a Facebook group where they could share ideas and support each other. Results from the nine-week pilot study reported mean body weight loss of 2.9 per cent, mean BMI drop of 1.4 points and mean weight loss of 4.6kg.\(^{142}\)

The PATU™ environment (both physical and virtual through social media) supports the idea that, for Māori, food and exercise recommendations would be better targeted in whānau and/or community context where advice can be applied directly to everyday lived realities.\(^{172}\) PATU™ Aotearoa is an example of a health intervention to address physical inactivity and nutrition using kaupapa Māori and Hauora Māori models, with positive health benefits for individuals and whānau. Reductions in weight, BMI and body fat reduces people’s risk of developing noncommunicable diseases, and as a community based initiative, it has an emphasis on whānau, connectedness and relationship-building to achieve goals.
**Whānau Pakari**

Whānau Pakari is a multidisciplinary intervention programme for children and adolescents with obesity in Taranaki, New Zealand. Whānau Pakari’s programme included an unblinded randomised control trial of an intervention for whānau with children or adolescents with obesity: an intensive intervention group and a minimal intensity (control) group were assessed at baseline, 6 months and 12 months. The trial targeted priority populations including tamariki and rangatahi Māori (Māori children and adolescents); the intervention cohort was predominantly Māori (45 per cent). The study incorporated a novel home-based and de-medicalised model which involved clinicians visiting whānau at home rather than attending a hospital-based clinic, enabling greater accessibility and responsiveness for the needs of whānau. Results showed both minimal and high-intensity models produced a modest improvement in BMI z-scores, cardiovascular fitness, psychological wellbeing and health-related quality of life at 12 months. The study authors noted that in the review of the intense intervention model, the incorporation of a Māori world view in the design and delivery of future interventions is critical to addressing lower retention levels for whānau.

**Toi Tangata**

Toi Tangata is a Māori agency which develops and delivers kaupapa Māori approaches to health, movement and nutrition. Current programmes include He Pī Ka Rere (a fledgling bird ready to fly), a kori (physical activity) and kai (nutrition) programme designed for delivery in Kohanga Reo (Māori immersion preschool) for tamariki under 5 years. Toi Tangata is working with researchers on the Ola-Ora (Health in several Pasifika languages and Māori) project to develop a mobile application (mHealth tool) to reduce the prevalence of obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular disease. Toi Tangata has liaised with two Māori communities (Waiwhetu in Whanganui-a-Tara/Wellington and Te Hā Oranga (Ngāti Whātua) to co-design the application, a process which should be considered best practice when designing interventions for priority populations.

**Priority populations: Pasifika**

New Zealand and Australia are closely connected to Pacific Island nations through trade, migration, tourism, and development. In New Zealand, Pacific peoples are the fourth-largest ethnic group, behind the New Zealand European, Māori, and Asian populations; and they are also the youngest, with nearly 50 per cent of Pasifika in New Zealand under 20 years. In 2013, there were around 340,000 people of Pacific ethnicity in New Zealand – this is projected to increase to around 440-480,000 by 2025. The majority of Pasifika in New Zealand (nearly 93 per cent) live in the North Island, with 66 per cent living in Auckland and 12 per cent in the Wellington region. There were around 150,000 people of Pacific ethnicity (excluding Māori) living in Australia in 2011, less than 1 per cent of the total Australian population. Pasifika are a heterogeneous population, representing different nationalities, ethnicities and cultures including Samoan, Tongan, Niuean, Cook Islands Māori, Rarotongan, Tokelauan, and Fijian. Around 7 per cent of New Zealanders identified with at least one Pacific ethnicity in 2013 (stats NZ). The Ministry of Health’s ‘Ala Mo’ui: Pathways to Pacific Health and Wellbeing 2014-18 acknowledges this diversity, noting that “while Pacific ‘cultures’ share some similarities in principles and concepts, they each have specific and independent world views”. This diversity is also recognised by...
using Āiga, kāinga, ‘anau, vuvale, kopu tangata, magafola (words for ‘family’ in different Pasifika languages) to emphasise the importance of collectivism in Pacific cultures.200

Pasifika have the highest rates of adult and childhood obesity in New Zealand: 68.7 per cent of adults aged over 15 years and 30 per cent of children aged 2-14 years are obese141. For Pasifika with obesity, 11 per cent of men and 21 per cent of women are categorised as class III (BMI greater than 40.0kg/m²); after adjusting for age and sex, Pasifika adults were more than five times as likely to have class III obesity compared to non-Pasifika adults. A high BMI and high waist circumference (WC) increases the risk of development of obesity-related co-morbidities such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes – around one in four New Zealand adults are at high risk due to high BMI and WC measures. For Pasifika adults, however, the risk is doubled to one in two.21

**Interventions in Pacific countries and territories**

In the ten countries with the greatest prevalence of obesity, nine are in the Pacific region.201 In response, several Pacific Island nations have taken a global lead in the implementation of excise and/or sales taxes on sugar-sweetened beverages, notably in counties where the consumption of these products is very high: Samoa in 1984, French Polynesia (2002), Niue (2009), Tonga (2013) and the Cook Islands (2014).202 In addition to an SSB tax, many nations have introduced policies to limit or ban availability of SSBs in schools.203 The tax amount varies, but tends to be higher in countries where there is a health rationale for introducing the tax (i.e. to reduce consumption), and in many cases, such as in Samoa, Nauru, Fiji and French Polynesia, bottled water is now cheaper than available SSBs.204

**Research involving the Pasifika community**

Research has previously focused on adult populations, particularly in the context of obesity related co-morbidities such as type 2 diabetes, stroke and cancer.205 206 207 There is a growing evidence base on children and adolescents (8 – 22 years), and Pasifika youth are a significant population (nearly 50 per cent of all Pacific peoples in New Zealand are under 20 years).208 209 This is notable because young people aged 16 – 24 “have the independence and capacity to understand their own, as well as their families’ social realities” and could offer unique insights into addressing issues relating to their social and cultural environment, which could impact on pathways contributing to obesity.

Church has been cited by previous studies as an influential environment for Pasifika fanau to make effective lifestyle changes, although results have been mixed.208 209 The study by Dewes et al, which surveyed lifestyle behaviours in Pasifika adolescents who attended church compared to those who did not, found no significant differences between the two groups when SSB and takeaway consumption were examined.208 While 66 per cent of Pasifika youth surveyed in the exploratory study by Tupai-Firestone et al reported that “their belief systems do not have an influence on the food they eat”, 30 per cent indicated an association between food and Pasifika culture, particularly around reciprocal exchange of food, and as part of church-based activities.208 209

**Interventions for Pasifika fanau**

Despite the disproportionate burden of obesity-related disease in Pasifika, there are few interventions designed specifically for the Pacific community, and most weight loss interventions prioritise the individual rather than the collective. An intervention to reduce cardiovascular and diabetes risk in Maori and Pasifika populations identified the following strengths in designing meaningful interventions:210
• Translating learning from other successful community-based behaviour change interventions, such as with smoking cessation
• Involve community health providers who have strong relationships and understanding of the populations they work with
• Incorporate cultural knowledge, practices and language

An example of a community-based initiative for Pasifika fanau health and wellbeing is the Faith-led Wellness Programme, a collaboration lead by the Pacific Health Service Hutt Valley with a cluster of six Pacific churches in Wainuomata and involving the Hutt Valley District Health Board. The Project Lead Candice Apelu notes that the emphasis for the programme is “less about weight loss and more on sustaining changes around exercise, having a good sleep, good nutrition and being well overall”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Initiatives implemented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Faith-led Wellness    | Working with Pasifika fanau to improve overall health and wellbeing through four domains: Faith, Food, Fitness and Family. | • Water-only policies introduced to churches  
• Sunday School menu changed from chips, lollies and fizzy drink to popcorn, fruits and water  
• Reducing portion sizes at church gatherings  
• Activities for congregation members, including fitness classes  
• Working with fruit and vegetable co-operatives to improve access to these foods |
| Lower Hutt, New Zealand |                                            |                                                                                        |

There is a need for greater collaboration between academic and research institutions and the Pasifika community in New Zealand and Australia to design, implement, evaluate and report on obesity, nutrition and physical activity interventions. Growing the evidence base and identifying effective initiatives and interventions will improve outcomes and health gains in the longer term.

Priority populations: People living on lower incomes

Obesity is an economic issue with marked socioeconomic gradients in obesity, independent of ethnicity. Individual, behavioural approaches to obesity do not encompass the upstream socioeconomic determinants, such as food insecurity, where a lack of money and resources hinders families’ abilities to have consistent access to a nutritious diet. Population-level analyses find a correlation between socioeconomic status and rates of obesity, with populations living in higher deprivation more likely to have obesity than those living in the least deprived areas. Revenue generated by the implementation of a sugar-sweetened beverage tax should facilitate access to healthy diets culturally relevant, community initiatives and improve health equity. Modelling in Australia and New Zealand and
Evidence from the United States has shown that a tax on sugar-sweetened beverages reduces consumption and improves health outcomes, and is an effective, equitable population health policy.

Solutions to obesity are frequently framed as individual responsibility: people who do not take on messaging aimed at reducing calorie intake, consuming nutritious food and increasing physical activity are perceived as lazy and ill-disciplined. Individual approaches to solving obesity do not encompass the upstream socioeconomic determinants, like food insecurity, where a lack of money and resources hinders families’ abilities to have consistent access to a nutritious diet. While the switch from current diets to healthy diets need not cost more money, it does cost more time and effort to prepare meals from scratch rather than purchasing ready snacks and takeaways. People with less money to spend on food and less time to prepare food will tend to purchase foods and beverages that provide sufficient energy for the lowest cost for all family members, thus directing food choices towards more processed, energy-dense foods.

In New Zealand, socioeconomic disparities are most apparent for people with the highest levels of obesity: adults and children living in the most deprived areas (deprivation quintile 5) were four times more likely to have a BMI greater than 40.0kg/m² (adults) or 35.0kg/m² (children) than adults and children living in the least deprived areas. In New Zealand, the Material Wellbeing Index includes seventeen indicators of material wellbeing to assess hardship; four of these indicators apply to food security (going without fresh fruit and vegetables; eating protein three or four times a week; economising on protein; and accessing food banks). In 2016, 14 per cent of children were in households which regularly went without or cut back on fresh fruit and vegetables, and 37 per cent of children were in households which reported purchasing cheaper cuts of meat “a lot” because money was needed for other essentials, such as rent or mortgage payments and utilities.

According to the latest Australian Bureau of Statistics data available, proportionally more women living in areas of most disadvantage were overweight or obese (63.8 per cent) compared with women living in areas of least disadvantage (47.7 per cent). However, this pattern was not observed for men with similar overweight or obese rates for those living in areas of most disadvantage (69 per cent) and those living in areas of least disadvantage (68.6 per cent). There are more stark differences by geographical locations where the level of overweight and obesity in adults varies according to geographical location and is highest in Inner regional and Outer regional and remote areas: “Around three quarters of men living in Inner regional (75 per cent) and Outer regional and remote (74 per cent) areas are overweight or obese compared with just over two thirds (68 per cent) of men living in major cities. For women, around two thirds of women living in Inner regional (63 per cent) and Outer regional and remote (69 per cent) areas are overweight or obese compared with just over half (53 per cent) who live in major cities.”

Priority populations: People with mental health conditions

There is robust evidence that people with mental health conditions have greater rates of physical co-morbidities and are at greater risk of premature death than the general population. For people with mental health conditions, excess mortality attributed to noncommunicable diseases such as cardiovascular disease, cancers and type-2 diabetes has increased in recent decades, and shows this inequity with the general population continues to widen.
Obesity is common for people living with mental health conditions. People prescribed pharmacotherapies to treat mental health conditions may experience weight gain and metabolic disturbances which are common side-effects of psychoactive medications, including antipsychotics and antidepressants.\textsuperscript{221} Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among people with mental health conditions is estimated at two to three times that of the general population, and is particularly prevalent among people with schizophrenia\textsuperscript{222}. Nutrition, tobacco use and levels of physical activity are also factors. People with mental health conditions are more likely to have a high calorie, high fat diet which is low in fibre, and be physically inactive, while people consuming healthier foods and undertaking regular physical activity are more likely to score higher on mental health screening questionnaires\textsuperscript{217 219}. An assessment for the presence of eating disorders as an important part of treatment planning – where an eating disorder is undiagnosed, interventions and strategies for weight management and managing comorbid noncommunicable diseases can be less effective\textsuperscript{223}. Advice about nutrition and physical activity that is specific, actionable and achievable must be included in consultations where psychoactive medications are prescribed.

In New Zealand, the rate of premature mortality (death from natural causes before age 65) is twice as high for people using mental health services compared to the general population. For people diagnosed with a psychotic disorder, it is three times as high\textsuperscript{224}. The New Zealand Mental Health Survey found that people who had been diagnosed with any mental health condition were more likely to be overweight or obese than the general population: 55.2 per cent compared to 50.9 per cent in 2006\textsuperscript{225}. Māori experience the highest levels of mental health conditions of any ethnic group; accounting for 27 per cent of all mental health users, despite representing 16 per cent of the NZ population. Te Rau Hinengaro, the 2006 National Mental Health Prevalence study, found that Māori were more likely to experience mental health conditions and comorbidities than non-Māori\textsuperscript{225}.

According to the National Mental Health Commission, people living with a mental health condition in Australia are likely to die between 14 and 23 years earlier than the general population, twice as likely to have diabetes, respiratory disease and metabolic syndrome and “Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people are estimated to have ten years lower life expectancy than other Australians, with an even greater gap for those with mental illness”\textsuperscript{226}.

**Equally well: Improving physical health outcomes for people with mental health conditions and/or addiction**

Health practitioners may attribute signs or symptoms of illness or disease to one condition, where in fact it may be strongly associated or caused by another long-term health condition. For people living with mental health conditions, the presentation or experience of physical symptoms is frequently misattributed by health practitioners to the person’s mental health condition, rather than being investigated as signs of a physical health condition. Subsequently, as treatment may be delayed or inadequate, this diagnostic overshadowing can contribute to the higher health inequalities experienced by people with mental health conditions. While the literature has posited a range of explanations for the inequalities and greater premature mortality experienced by people with mental health conditions, these are largely focused on exploring factors related to the patient, including current medication and behavioural factors. More recently, systemic disparities, including access to screening and treatment for physical health conditions for people with mental health conditions, have been explored as contributing factors\textsuperscript{227}. 

RACP Evidence review on obesity
Reducing disparities in physical health outcomes and access to health care for people with mental health conditions and/or addiction is a priority in New Zealand and Australia through the Equally Well initiative, which aims to improve physical health outcomes for people who experience mental health conditions and/or addiction. The New Zealand Consensus Position Paper was launched in 2014, and is led by Te Pou o Whakaaro Nui, the national centre for mental health, addiction and disability workforce development\textsuperscript{228}. In Australia, the Australian Government’s Mental Health Commission published the \textit{Equally Well Consensus Statement on improving the physical health and wellbeing of people living with mental illness in Australia} in 2016\textsuperscript{226}.

\textbf{Equally Well Australia}

The Australian consensus statement outlines six essential elements to guide health service organisations to provide better quality care to people with mental health conditions. These include taking a holistic, person-centred approach to physical, mental health and wellbeing; effective promotion, prevention and early intervention; care coordination and equity of access to health services\textsuperscript{226}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equally Well Principle</th>
<th>Mention of obesity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Effective promotion, prevention and early intervention | "Obesity (which may be related to medication treatment) is a major contributor to a number of common physical diseases including metabolic syndrome, diabetes and cardiovascular disease. People living with mental health conditions should receive tailored support for weight management programs as part of routine care."
A recent evaluation of an individualised intervention which provided nutrition and physical activity advice to young people with first episode psychosis found that weight gain associated with antipsychotic pharmacotherapies was attenuated in the group which received the advice\textsuperscript{229}. |
| Improved quality of health care | "Assessments should consider the risk of developing conditions such as obesity, cardiovascular disease, respiratory illness, osteoporosis, diabetes and metabolic syndrome."
"Impacts of medication (both positive and negative) should be regularly assessed, and alternatives should be considered if a medication has a potential negative impact. People living with mental health conditions have a much higher risk of developing metabolic syndrome. Anyone prescribed antipsychotic medication should be given clear and understandable verbal and written information about the medication’s risks and benefits. Steps should be taken to limit side-effects such as obesity, cardiovascular disease and diabetes. People should be encouraged to have a support person and/or carer present during these discussions and be supported to make treatment decisions."
A holistic approach to optimising both mental and physical health should be taken, as evidence shows that having more than one... |
condition can mean suboptimal care of one or more of these conditions.  

| Monitoring of progress towards improved physical health and wellbeing | “Measure rates of smoking, alcohol use, obesity and diabetes in people living with mental health conditions.”
Cardiometabolic monitoring in children and adolescents with mental health conditions receiving antipsychotic pharmacotherapies is an opportunity to alter the trajectory towards early onset of metabolic syndrome, type-2 diabetes or cardiovascular disease. |

**Equally Well New Zealand**

The Equally Well consensus position statement is the outcome of a comprehensive review of international and New Zealand evidence on the physical health of people who experience mental health conditions and/or addiction. Signatories to the New Zealand consensus paper “recognise there is an urgent need for coordinated action that will contribute to improved life expectancy and physical health” including reducing the impact of noncommunicable diseases (type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, obesity and cardiovascular disease). The consensus position paper has identified the following principles to guide action as part of the Equally Well collaboration which outline that people who experience mental health conditions and/or addiction need:  

- To be identified as a priority group at a national policy level based on significant health risks and relatively poor physical health outcomes  
- To have access to the same quality of care and treatment for physical illnesses, and to have a right to assessment, screening and monitoring for physical illnesses  
- To be offered support to make the connection to how they are affected physically and guidance on personal goals and changes to enhance their physical wellbeing.

The Consensus Statements in New Zealand and Australia have been endorsed by the RACP as well as other health organisations including the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners, the Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners and The Royal Australian & New Zealand College of Psychiatrists. State and Territory governments in Australia and District Health Boards in New Zealand are also signatories to their respective national consensus statements.

**Recommendations to address obesity within priority populations**

The RACP recommends governments in Australia and New Zealand:

- Implement regionally-appropriate actions to support and empower priority populations in New Zealand and Australia to address obesity at individual, family/whānau and community levels. These actions need to be designed, implemented and evaluated collaboratively with
communities and their leadership to ensure they are culturally centred and meet community needs.

The RACP will:

- Support where possible, community actions with and for priority populations

Summary and recommendations

Obesity, and particularly morbid obesity, is a disease contributing to adverse physical and mental health outcomes and wellbeing across the life course. Regulatory interventions at a population health level including the introduction of a tax on sugar-sweetened beverages, mandatory front-of-pack labelling and restrictions on advertising and marketing are evidence-informed actions to reduce obesity, particularly for children. Health system measures such as increasing equitable access to bariatric surgery will help people in Australia and New Zealand who are living with the debilitating impacts of obesity-related diseases. Increasing research, evaluation and monitoring of community-led initiatives and interventions will enable greater learning and allow for successful interventions to be expanded and developed, particularly for priority populations.

The global commercial, economic and environmental conditions which contribute to and sustain obesity can be mitigated by governments, civil society and industry collaborating to effect change through approaches such as Health in All Policies, commitment to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals and prioritising health equity to address disparities in priority populations. When environments and communities promote and support nutrition and physical activity for all ages, rates of chronic conditions and noncommunicable diseases can be reduced, improving quality of life and leading to reductions in mortality.

RACP recommendations to address the economic, commercial and policy drivers of obesity

The RACP recommends governments in Australia and New Zealand:

- Introduce regulations to restrict the marketing of unhealthy diets to children and young people
- Implement an effective tax on sugar-sweetened beverages to reduce consumption – and use the revenue thus generated to facilitate access to healthy diets and culturally relevant initiatives to improve health equity
- Revise the Health Star Rating system’s nutrient profiling algorithm to give stronger weight to sugar content, and by 2019 require that the labelling be mandatory if there is not widespread uptake by then, to encourage consumers to choose healthier options and motivate food manufacturers to reformulate and develop healthier products
• Set targets for reducing mean population intakes of nutrients associated with unhealthy diets based on World Health Organization recommendations

• Introduce a health and wellbeing principle as part of local government decision-making when considering land use planning and zoning permissions

• Implement consistent healthy food and drink service policies which promote and enable healthy diets

• Implement a health-in-all-policies approach across government, including transportation and urban planning design, prioritising active transport and active recreation solutions

The RACP will:

• Seek the support of other Colleges and medical organisations for the RACP position paper

• In concert with other organisations, advocate for the above policies and for meaningful action to address the societal determinants of obesity and health more broadly in New Zealand and Australia at government, society and community levels

RACP recommendations for health system actions to address obesity

The RACP recommends governments in Australia and New Zealand:

• Introduce a consistent national growth standard for child development based on the World Health Organization standards

• Actively promote national dietary and activity guidelines

• Provide equitable access to bariatric surgery in public hospitals for all suitable patients who have severe obesity

• Promote monitoring weight as a vital sign to prevent age-related weight gain

The RACP recommends the Federal Government of Australia:

• Develop, support, update and monitor comprehensive and consistent diet, physical activity and weight management guidelines for children, with a focus on critical periods in the life course (pre-conception, antenatal, infancy and early childhood)

• Revise clinical guidelines for weight management of adults to incorporate:
  o The evidence on the low likelihood of long-term efficacy and potential detrimental effects for repeated attempts at weight loss
  o An emphasis on the importance of optimising health and managing treatable risk factors at any weight
The need to ensure the physical environment meets the needs of people with obesity and minimise the direct and indirect impacts of weight bias in the health system

The RACP recommends New Zealand:

- Review the existing Childhood Obesity Plan with the intention to expand the Plan to include the WHO-recommended, effective interventions to reduce childhood obesity
- Supports, promotes, regularly updates and monitors the nutrition, weight management and physical activity guidelines for children, young people and adults

The RACP will:

- Encourage RACP members to support individual and family/whānau to optimise their health irrespective of weight through improved nutrition and physical activity, and ensure patient education is specific, actionable and achievable
- Advocate for: consistent, WHO standards for defining childhood obesity; greater promotion of nutrition and physical activity guidelines; revised management guidelines to take a more balanced approach to recommending weight loss for people with obesity; the inclusion of weight as a vital sign and; greater public access for bariatric surgery

RACP recommendations to address obesity within priority populations

The RACP recommends the governments of Australia and New Zealand:

- Implement regionally appropriate actions to support and empower priority populations in New Zealand and Australia to address obesity at individual, family/whānau and community levels. These actions need to be designed, implemented and evaluated collaboratively with communities and their leadership to ensure they are culturally centred and meet community needs.

The RACP will:

- Support where possible, community actions with and for priority populations
Appendix 1: Rationale for a tax on sugary drinks in Australia and New Zealand

This is a background document outlining some of the reasons for endorsing a sugary drinks tax, underpinning some of the recommendations made by the RACP Obesity Working Party.

What is a sugary drink?

A sugary drink is any beverage that contains free sugars. Free sugars refer to monosaccharides (such as glucose or fructose) and disaccharides (such as sucrose or table sugar) added to foods and drinks by the manufacturer, cook, or consumer, and sugars naturally present in honey, syrups, fruit juices, and fruit juice concentrates. The main categories of SSBs include soft-drinks (including sachet mixes), fruit juices, cordials, flavoured milks, energy and sports drinks. The definition excludes unflavoured or unsweetened milk.

What is a sugary drinks tax?

It is a tax or surcharge intended to increase the price of sugary drinks relative to other drinks. The aim of a sugar tax on sugary drinks is to discourage the consumption of unhealthy drinks which contribute to overweight and obesity as well as tooth decay and to offset the growing economic costs of obesity.

The rate of tax can be applied in different forms. These include by volume of the drink, as a proportion of the value of the goods (ad valorem), or by the nature of the drink (e.g. sugar content). The tax may be applied to only drinks that cross a certain threshold of sugar concentration or may be applied in a tiered fashion, where higher rates of taxation are applied to drinks with a greater concentration of sugar.

As outlined in the Grattan Institute’s recent report on a sugary drinks tax many countries or regions including France, Belgium, Mexico, Finland, Fiji and the city of Berkeley in California have already implemented a tax on sugary drinks. Both Ireland and the United Kingdom will implement tiered volumetric taxes on sugary drinks from 2018 whereby all sugary drinks containing over 5g/100ml of sugar will be taxed at GBP 0.18 per litre and those with total sugar content above 8g/100ml at GBP 0.24. There has already been some evidence from the UK that the tiered volumetric tax has led some large manufacturers to proactively reformulate their sugary drinks so they fall below the tax threshold.

Why is a sugary drinks tax proposed?

Excess sugar intake is harmful to health

Sugary drinks have been considered a source of excess energy and through this mechanism they are thought to contribute to weight gain and obesity. More recently, sugar has been considered a source of concentrated fructose: excess fructose intake is consistently associated with the development of obesity, diabetes and insulin resistance in rodent studies. Excess sugar intake, measured by
Sugary drink intake or sugar or fructose intake, is consistently associated with a range of adverse health conditions in epidemiological research. These health conditions include:

- Weight gain
- Type two diabetes mellitus
- Dental caries
- Hypertension
- Gout and hyperuricaemia
- Cardiovascular disease
- Dyslipidaemia

A systematic review and meta-analysis of 88 studies which looked into the association between the consumption of sugary drinks and intake of other nutrients and health status found “a clear and consistent association between soft drink consumption and increased energy intake” and noted that “given the multiple sources of energy in a typical diet, it is noteworthy that a single source of energy can have such a substantial impact on total energy intake”. In addition to these health conditions, excess sugar in the diets of children is consistently associated with behavioural and developmental disturbance in observational studies.

**Average sugar intake is much higher than recommended**

The World Health Organization has recommended that less than 5 per cent of daily energy intake should come from added sugars (less than six teaspoons for children and women, and nine for men). In contrast, estimated daily intake of sugar in New Zealand from self-reported surveys is between 11 and 17 teaspoons per day. These figures are likely to be under-estimated, and food disappearance data suggests a much higher average intake of about 40 teaspoons of sugar per day. In Australia, per capita sugary drink intake has increased from a mean of 47 litres per person per year in the late 1960s to 113 litres in the 1990s. In New Zealand, almost one-fifth of the total sugar intake of New Zealand adults (17 per cent) comes from sugary drinks. Younger people derive a substantial proportion of their sugar intake from non-alcoholic beverages. Children aged 5 to 14 years derive about a quarter to a third of their sugar intake from sugary drinks.

**Sugary drinks taxes show beneficial effects overseas**

Recently, substantial sugary drinks taxes have been implemented in Mexico and in Berkeley, California. Early evaluations of the effect of these taxes suggest substantial declines in retail sales of sugary drinks. In Berkeley, eight months after the tax was implemented respondents reported consuming 21 per cent less sugary drinks, compared to baseline levels. This figure contrasted with a four per cent increase which occurred in comparison cities of Oakland and San Francisco. Initial results from Mexico report a 12 per cent reduction in sugary drink purchases compared to the pre-tax era. Reductions were most marked amongst households with the fewest resources (17 per cent decrease in sugary drink purchases).
Concern for increasing inequities following implementation of a sugary drinks tax

Modelling studies from Australia and New Zealand suggest that the effect of the tax is likely to reduce health inequalities\textsuperscript{52,53}. A recent study from Deakin University examined the effect on equity of a 20 per cent tax on sales of sugary drinks in Australia, by assessing potential cost-effectiveness, health gains and financial impacts, for different socioeconomic groups\textsuperscript{51}. It concluded that: “this study demonstrates that from a 20\% tax on SSBs [sugar sweetened beverages], the most health-adjusted life years [HALYs] gained and health care costs saved would accrue to the most disadvantaged quintiles in Australia. Whilst those in more disadvantaged areas would pay more SSB tax, the difference between areas is small. The equity of the tax could be further improved if the tax revenue were used to fund initiatives benefiting those with greater disadvantage.”\textsuperscript{51} The Lancet Taskforce on NCDs and Economics has also shown that the larger financial burden placed on lower-income households by the implementation of fiscal policies designed to reduce consumption of products such as sugary drinks, can be mitigated through proactive health equity policies which improve health outcomes\textsuperscript{56}.

The Consensus Statement on sugar-sweetened beverages produced by the Rethink Sugary Drink Campaign outlines that Australians of low socioeconomic status stand to derive the greatest benefit from reduced consumption of sugar sweetened beverages since they are disproportionately affected by higher rates of diet-related illnesses. Further, it indicates that research conducted to date suggests that young people, lower-income groups, and people with obesity are high-volume consumers of sugary drinks are likely to be more responsive to price increases. The statement concludes that “a sugar-sweetened beverages tax would be an equitable population policy to reduce consumption and improve weight and population health outcomes, particularly among those groups who are most at risk of harm”\textsuperscript{248}.

A sugary drinks tax has wide public and health sector support in both New Zealand and Australia

Recent representative surveys of New Zealanders indicate consistent majority support for a sugary drinks tax, particularly if the revenue for the tax is set aside for funding obesity prevention programmes\textsuperscript{249}. In addition to public support a wide alliance of health organisations have recently authored a policy brief endorsing a sugary drinks tax. At a political level, the Māori, Opportunities and Green Parties in New Zealand have expressed support for a sugary drinks tax. The results of an Australian survey of 1,511 adults published in 2012 showed that over two thirds of Australians support the introduction of a tax on sugary drinks tax if the revenue were used to reduce the cost of healthy foods\textsuperscript{250}.

Public health organisations supporting the introduction of a tax on sugary drinks as part of a set of policies and interventions to reduce the impact of obesity include the WHO\textsuperscript{251}, the Australian Medical Association\textsuperscript{252}, New Zealand Medical Association\textsuperscript{253}, Council of Presidents of Medical Colleges of Australia\textsuperscript{254}, Public Health Association of Australia\textsuperscript{255}, and the Australian Council of Social Services\textsuperscript{256}.
Appendix 2: Food Environment Policy Index

The Food Environment Policy Index (Food-EPI) assesses the level of implementation of food environment polices and infrastructure support by governments (central government in New Zealand and federal and State-level government in Australia) to reduce the prevalence of obesity and diet-related noncommunicable diseases\(^{68}\) \(^{70}\). The Food-EPI examines all factors acting on the food environment, from composition, labelling, marketing and retail to governance, monitoring and leadership. The tables below show the food provision policies, which assess progress on implementing healthy food environments in schools and public-funded facilities, and support and training for public and private sector employers.

### Food Environment Policy Index – Australia\(^{70}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food provision policies</th>
<th>ACT</th>
<th>NSW</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>QLD</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>TAS</th>
<th>VIC</th>
<th>WA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policies in schools promote health food choices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policies in public settings promote healthy food choices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support and training systems for public sector settings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support and training for private companies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Food Environment Policy Index – New Zealand\(^{68}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food provision policies</th>
<th>NZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policies in schools promote health food choices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policies in public settings promote healthy food choices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support and training systems for public sector settings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support and training for private companies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3: Pharmacotherapies for weight loss

The RACP finds that evidence of efficacy and safety in the long term use of weight loss medicines is limited\(^{138}\) \(^{257}\). Pharmacotherapies should be prescribed only if clinically indicated and as an adjunct therapy in combination with nutrition and physical activity\(^{136}\) \(^{138}\). The short-term use of weight loss medicines must be carefully monitored, given the evidence of interaction, contraindication, and potential for harm arising from use\(^{136}\) \(^{138}\) \(^{257}\).

Orlistat (gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor; trade name Xenical) and Phentermine (sympathomimetic amine; trade name Duromine) are approved medications for weight loss in Australia and New Zealand\(^{124}\) \(^{136}\). Liraglutide (glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist; trade name Saxenda) was approved as a short-term adjunct for weight loss in Australia in 2016\(^{258}\). No weight loss medications are subsidised in New Zealand; and only Orlistat is subsidised in Australia for eligible people\(^{136}\) \(^{259}\).

Australian and New Zealand clinical guidelines recommend pharmacotherapies as an intensive intervention in combination with nutrition (diet high in vegetables and fruit) and physical activity. Guidelines state pharmacotherapies may be a treatment option for obesity when a person has a BMI of greater than 30kg/m\(^2\); or 27kg/m\(^2\) and significant comorbidity (sleep apnoea; type-2 diabetes)\(^{124}\) \(^{136}\).

Orlistat has been shown to achieve moderate weight loss at 12 months, with improvements in some risk factors for cardiovascular disease (blood pressure, low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol)\(^{138}\). Gastrointestinal side effects are commonly reported during the common treatment period (12 weeks), though people with type-2 diabetes did commonly experience hypoglycaemia\(^{260}\).

Liraglutide is a relatively new medicine available in Australia for the treatment of obesity, having been approved in 2016. Evidence as to its efficacy and safety, particularly when used for 12 months or longer is limited. As with other pharmacotherapies for treatment of obesity, Liraglutide is indicated for short-term use (12 weeks) in conjunction with a reduced caloric intake and increased physical activity. Long term data on the efficacy and safety of Liraglutide is limited, as is a comprehensive overview of precautions to its use. Adverse events reported from the clinical trials of Liraglutide were predominantly gastrointestinal, though breast neoplasia was reported in 0.6 per cent of the cohort receiving the medication, compared to 0.2 per cent of the placebo group\(^{258}\).

The RACP finds that the use of Phentermine carries an increased risk of significant harm and particular caution should be exercised in its prescription. Phentermine acts as an appetite suppressant, and is associated with serious side effects and harm including tachycardia, hypertension and insomnia\(^{136}\). Phentermine has an extensive array of contraindications, including cardiovascular disease, hyperthyroidism, depression, and history of substance addiction. Failure to achieve a moderate weight loss of greater than five per cent body weight after 12 weeks of treatment is an indication to discontinue treatment\(^{261}\). Other pharmaceuticals promising to ‘treat’ obesity are likely to enter the market in ensuing years. The RACP is concerned that their safety and efficacy are very carefully considered.
Kuputaka te Māori (Glossary of Māori terms)

Hauora – total health, being in a state of holistic wellness where all elements of health (spiritual, physical, mental and family) are in balance. The four elements cannot be separated.

Kaitiakitanga – Guardianship

Kaupapa Māori – Māori approach; incorporating the knowledge, skills, attitudes and values of Māori society

Kotahitanga – Unity and solidarity

Manaakitanga – kindness, generosity, support

Ora – health; to be healthy

Rangatahi – Young person; adolescent

Rangatiratanga – self determination

Taha Hinengaro – Mental health. The capacity to communicate, to think and to feel. This is about how we see ourselves in this universe, our interaction with that which is uniquely Māori and the perception that others have of us.

Taha Tinana – Physical health. The capacity for physical growth and development.

Taha Wairua – Spiritual health. Traditional Māori analysis of physical manifestations of illness will focus on the wairua or spirit, to determine whether damage here is a contributing factor

Taha Whānau – Family health. The capacity to belong, to care and to share where individuals are part of wider social systems. Understanding the importance of whānau and how whānau (family) can contribute to illness and assist in curing illness is fundamental to understanding Māori health issues.

Tamariki – Child, Children

Te Ao Māori – the Māori world

Te reo – Māori language

Te Waka o Meihana – The Canoe of Mason: a Māori model of health building on the foundations of te Whare Tapa Wha, expanding from the four components of health to include Tai ao (the environment) and Iwi Katoa (services and systems).

Te Whare Tapa Wha – The four-sided house, a Māori model of health developed by Sir Professor Mason Durie

Tikanga Māori – Māori traditions

Whanaungatanga – kinship and connectedness; a relationship built through shared experiences and working together
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